



example.

This form should be used for all taxonomic proposals. Please complete all those modules that are applicable (and then delete the unwanted sections).

For guidance, see the notes written in blue and the separate document “Help with completing a taxonomic proposal”

Please try to keep related proposals within a single document; you can copy the modules to create more than one genus within a new family, for

MODULE 1: TITLE, AUTHORS, etc

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| Code assigned: | 2013.009a-dB | (to be completed by ICTV officers) | | | |
| Short title: To create a new genus, <i>Bignuzlikevirus</i> , within the family <i>Siphoviridae</i> (e.g. 6 new species in the genus <i>Zetavirus</i>) | | | | | |
| Modules attached (modules 1 and 9 are required) | 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 <input type="checkbox"/> | 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 <input type="checkbox"/> | 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 9 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |

Author(s) with e-mail address(es) of the proposer:

Evelien M Adriaenssens, evelien.adriaenssens@gmail.com
Andrew M Kropinski, akropins@uoguelph.ca
Rob Lavigne, rob.lavigne@biw.kuleuven.be

List the ICTV study group(s) that have seen this proposal:

A list of study groups and contacts is provided at <http://www.ictvonline.org/subcommittees.asp> . If in doubt, contact the appropriate subcommittee chair (fungal, invertebrate, plant, prokaryote or vertebrate viruses)

ICTV-EC or Study Group comments and response of the proposer:

Date first submitted to ICTV: June 2013
Date of this revision (if different to above): July 2014

MODULE 2: NEW SPECIES

creating and naming one or more new species.

If more than one, they should be a group of related species belonging to the same genus. All new species must be placed in a higher taxon. This is usually a genus although it is also permissible for species to be “unassigned” within a subfamily or family. Wherever possible, provide sequence accession number(s) for one isolate of each new species proposed.

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Code | 2013.009aB | (assigned by ICTV officers) |
| To create 2 new species within: | | |
| Genus: | <i>Bignuzlikevirus (new)</i> | Fill in all that apply. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the higher taxon has yet to be created (in a later module, below) write “(new)” after its proposed name. • If no genus is specified, enter “unassigned” in the genus box. |
| Subfamily: | | |
| Family: | <i>Siphoviridae</i> | |
| Order: | <i>Caudovirales</i> | |
| And name the new species: | | GenBank sequence accession number(s) of reference isolate: |
| <i>Mycobacterium phage bignuz</i> <i>Mycobacterium phage jebeks</i> | | JN412591 JN572061 |

Reasons to justify the creation and assignment of the new species:

- Explain how the proposed species differ(s) from all existing species.
 - If species demarcation criteria (see module 3) have previously been defined for the genus, **explain how the new species meet these criteria.**
 - If criteria for demarcating species need to be defined (because there will now be more than one species in the genus), please state the proposed criteria.
- Further material in support of this proposal may be presented in the Appendix, Module 9

We have chosen 95% DNA sequence identity as the criterion for demarcation of species in this new genus. The two species show 70.8% identity at the DNA level as confirmed with the EMBOSS Stretcher algorithm.

MODULE 3: NEW GENUS

creating a new genus

Ideally, a genus should be placed within a higher taxon.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Code | 2013.009bB | (assigned by ICTV officers) |
| To create a new genus within: | | |
| Subfamily: | | Fill in all that apply. • If the higher taxon has yet to be created (in a later module, below) write “ (new) ” after its proposed name. • If no family is specified, enter “ unassigned ” in the family box |
| Family: | <i>Siphoviridae</i> | |
| Order: | <i>Caudovirales</i> | |

naming a new genus

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Code | 2013.009cB | (assigned by ICTV officers) |
| To name the new genus: <i>Bignuzlikevirus</i> | | |

Assigning the type species and other species to a new genus

| | | |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Code | 2013.009dB | (assigned by ICTV officers) |
| To designate the following as the type species of the new genus | | |
| <i>Mycobacterium phage bignuz</i> | | Every genus must have a type species. This should be a well characterized species although not necessarily the first to be discovered |
| <p>The new genus will also contain any other new species created and assigned to it (Module 2) and any that are being moved from elsewhere (Module 7b). Please enter here the TOTAL number of species (including the type species) that the genus will contain:</p> <p>2</p> | | |

Reasons to justify the creation of a new genus:

Additional material in support of this proposal may be presented in the Appendix, Module 9

This genus was originally recognized by the Mycobacteriophage group (www.phagesdb.org) as belonging to cluster N. Phages belonging to this genus share a comparable genome size (45 - 49 kb), a comparable GC content (66 - 68 %), and defined physical genome ends with a comparable 3' overhang. Members of this genus also have a comparable morphology, with an isometric head and a long, non-contractile tail (Figure 1).

A ClustalW analysis of the complete genomes of this genus with all other *Mycobacterium* phages belonging to the *Siphoviridae* reveals that this genus is related at the DNA level to the genera *Che9clikevirus* and *Charlielikevirus* (Figures 2 and 3). Since the *Che9clikevirus* members have a different morphology (elongated heads, data not shown), and the *Charlielikevirus* members have below 40% shared proteins, they will be separate genera.

We propose a shared protein content of at least 40% with the type phage, *Mycobacterium phage bignuz*. A CoreGenes 3.5 analysis [1–3] between Bignuz and Redi reveals 73.5% shared proteins. The CoreGenes analysis was also performed against the type species of other proposed genera of siphoviruses infecting *Mycobacterium* and the shared protein content was consistently below 40% (data not shown).

Origin of the new genus name:

Mycobacterium phage BigNuz

Reasons to justify the choice of type species:

The genus *Bignuzlikevirus* is named after the first isolated and sequenced phage of this group, *Mycobacterium* phage BigNuz [4].

Species demarcation criteria in the new genus:

If there will be more than one species in the new genus, list the criteria being used for species demarcation and explain how the proposed members meet these criteria.

We have chosen 95% DNA sequence identity as the criterion for demarcation of species in this new genus.

MODULE 9: APPENDIX: supporting material

additional material in support of this proposal

References:

1. Mahadevan P, King JF, Seto (2009) Data mining pathogen genomes using GeneOrder and CoreGenes and CGUG: gene order, synteny and in silico proteomes. *Int J Comput Biol Drug Des* 2: 100–114.
2. Mahadevan P, King JF, Seto D (2009) CGUG: in silico proteome and genome parsing tool for the determination of “core” and unique genes in the analysis of genomes up to ca. 1.9 Mb. *BMC Res Notes* 2: 168. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-2-168.
3. Zafar N, Mazumder R, Seto D (2002) CoreGenes: A computational tool for identifying and cataloging “core” genes in a set of small genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 3: 12. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-3-12.
4. Hatfull GF (2012) Complete genome sequences of 138 mycobacteriophages. *J Virol* 86: 2382–2384. doi:10.1128/JVI.06870-11.
5. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT (2010) progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. *PLoS One* 5: e11147. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011147.

Annex:

Include as much information as necessary to support the proposal, including diagrams comparing the old and new taxonomic orders. The use of Figures and Tables is strongly recommended but direct pasting of content from publications will require permission from the copyright holder together with appropriate acknowledgement as this proposal will be placed on a public web site. For phylogenetic analysis, try to provide a tree where branch length is related to genetic distance.




Figure 1: EM picture of an isolate of phage Bignuz, the type species of the genus *Bignuzlikevirus* (<http://phagesdb.org/media/emPics/Bignuz.tif>).




Figure 2: ClustalW phylogenetic tree (NJ) of complete genomes of all *Mycobacterium* siphoviruses in the NCBI database in November 2012. The proposed genus is colored in red.




Figure 3: ClustalW phylogenetic tree of complete genomes of the isolates belonging to the genus *Bignuzlikevirus* and phages of the related genera *Che9clikevirus* and *Charlielikevirus*, excerpt of Figure 2.




Figure 4: progressiveMauve alignment of the phage genomes belonging to the proposed genus (Bignuz top and Jebeks bottom) [5]. Colored blocks indicate the regions of 1 to 1 best alignment with rearrangement breakpoints in a different random color. The degree of sequence similarity between regions is given by a similarity plot within the colored blocks with the height of the plot proportional to the average nucleotide identity.